

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/618,955		07/19/2000	Edgar Allan Tu	FUSN1-01103US0	2141	
26652	7590	08/06/2004		EXAMINER		
AT&T C	CORP.		EL HADY, NABIL M			
P.O. BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2154		
				DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
·Advisory Action	09/618,955	TU ET AL.					
W	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nabil M El-Hady	2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state forms: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 36(a) and the appropriate exithe fee. The appropriate exithe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ns.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 .					
10.		Nabil El-Hady, Ph.I Primary Patent Exa Art Unit: 2154	Field D, M.B.A aminer				
6. Patent and Trademark Office		AIL UIIIL Z 104	-+				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper Ng. 20040803

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Johnson IV (US 5,970,149) fall within the scope of the invention and discloses a job handler module (dispatch central processing unit and dispatcher 34, 36, 38 of Fig. 1) that is operatively coupled to communication module (32 of Fig. 1), the job handler configured to retreive, store, update, and delete data associated with the base device (alerts is communicated to the dispatch control center and dispatcher monitor them, list/store them, col. 4, lines 9-41) and update and delete the data (col. 5, lines 48-54). Johnson IV also discloses the limitation of a wake-up module operatively coupled to the communication module (alert or warning communicated to the dispath control center (col. 4, lines 9-13) to connect the base device (dispatch control center) with a service provider (firld engineer, col. 4, lines 42-44).